

THE BRITISH COLONIST
EVERY MORNING.
(Sundays Excepted.)
AT VICTORIA, V. I.

THE WEEKLY COLONIST.
Published every Tuesday morning.
One Year, (in advance), \$10 00
One Month, 100 00
Single Copies, 10 cents
Subscriptions in Victoria will be supplied by the
Postmaster at 50 cents per month.

AGENTS.

John Meekin, Nantwich
Markton & Co., New Westminster
Richard's Express, Quebec, B. C.
John M. McLean, Lytton
W. J. McLean, Lytton
Barkerill, Vancouver
Camerons, Vancouver
John M. McLean, San Francisco
L. J. McLean, San Francisco
F. A. McLean, Clement's, London
G. Street, London

GROCERIES.

Sporborg & Ruef,
COMMISSION MERCHANTS,
Importers and Wholesale Dealers

Groceries, Provisions,
Boots and Shoes,
WHARF STREET, VICTORIA, V. I.

Lowe Brothers,
COMMISSION MERCHANTS,

Importers of

Provisions, Groceries,

French Wines, Liquors,

MAVANA CIGARS,

Naval Stores, Rope, Canvas, Oars, &c., &c.

Wharf Street, Victoria, V. I.

Telephone, 311.

THE BANK OF
BRITISH NORTH AMERICA

ESTABLISHED 1836. — INCORPORATED BY
ROYAL CHARTER.

Paid-up Capital — \$5,000,000.

Dividends Net Profit — \$300,000.

Head Office — 7 St. Helen's Place, London.

Establishments

San Francisco — F. H. Grinn & W. S. Sather

New York — Agents, 100 Montgomery street.

New Orleans — W. H. and James Smith,

Agents.

BRANCHES:

MONTREAL — LONDON, C. W.

QUEBEC — BRANTFORD, LONDON,

HAMILTON — ST. JOHN, N. B.

KINGSTON — VICTORIA, V. I.

MONTSREAL — NEW YORK — TORONTO —

PHILADELPHIA — BOSTON —

ATLANTA — BIRMINGHAM —

MONROVIA — BIRMINGHAM —

MONRO

THE BRITISH COLONIST

Wednesday Morning, June 21, 1865.

To Advertisers & the Public.

THE DAILY BRITISH COLONIST, published every morning (twice a week) is the largest and widest circulation journal in Her Majesty's Possessions on the globe.

Advertisers may have the same letter published in the paper at one per word, by furnishing their address at the Office of Publication.

THE WEEKLY BRITISH COLONIST, published every Tuesday, will be mailed or left at the post office at one cent per word, by furnishing their address at the Office of Publication.

JOB PRINTING. THE BRITISH COLONIST, THE LARGEST COMPANY having received a large number of orders for printing, in addition to their stock, will execute orders to any extent with neatness and dispatch, at a slight advance on San Francisco price.

FRANCE, ENGLAND, AND AMERICA.

In a previous article we endeavored to show the strong activating motives for peace which exists in both Great Britain and the United States, and, despite the various aspects of affairs which recent telegraphic news discloses, we do not think that the complications will involve anything more terrible than diplomatic action. We are glad to see that the same view is taken by those journalists in America that best represent the public mind. The New York Tribune, while maintaining that America has certain valid claims against England on the Alabama question, sees nothing in the present relations that is likely to culminate in war. "We want," says that journal, "to be at peace with Great Britain. The unfriendly predictions of her statesmen we can afford to overlook, since we have signalized them; their eager bestowal of bellicose rights on a 'power not yet in the gristle, and which had not a drilled regiment in its service or an unborrowed dollar in its treasury, will keep us to look at when ire and India shall next be in commotion; her refusal to let us into the ring of anti-privateers governments we will thank her for, since we are out of our recent trouble and we may want to do a little privateering ourselves the next time we are at war; but our vessels and cargoes bartered by her Alabama may better be paid for and have no bad words about them. Short settlements make long friends; and we want to remain in amity with our British cousins for many years to come. The least that they can decently do is to offer to submit the question of their liability in the premises to some mutually friendly government and agree to abide its award. And, whenever this offer shall be frankly made, we shall urge our Government to accept it cordially."

With all the little bravado in the above, there is not made, which we can seriously take exception. That the United States will, on the first outbreak between England and another power, take advantage of any breach of international law which Great Britain may have made, during the recent civil war, is what we must, in the natural order of things, expect. When retaliation pays, nations no more than individuals are able to disregard the temptation. So far, however, as the present cause of dispute is concerned—the destruction of property by the British built Confederate cruisers—the Tribune is willing that the whole master should be left to arbitration. When the principal journal in the United States, except this rational method of settling the difficulty there is not much fear of any serious imbroglio; for it is above all things, the interest of Great Britain that a fair and impartial decision should be given on the question by a third party. Should the case be decided in favor of the United States—should the arbiter declare that however innocent the English Government may have been, in the escape of the Alabama in the first place from an English port, it was the duty of that Government when the crisis subsequently put into British waters, to have her arrested—it may probably save England in her future war, many more millions of dollars than are demanded at the present time by the United States. If, for example, Great Britain gets into war with some of the inferior powers, who are not parties to the anti-privateering agreement, the United States, taking a lesson from the Alabama, could conceive at the fitting out of privateers that would deal terrible havoc with British commerce on the high seas. It is therefore evident that England's interest lies in a full investigation of the question, and—it may also appear, however strange, that a verdict given against her would be the best guarantee for the safety of her gigantic commerce in the exigencies of the future.

When M. Roche, the French Minister, lately called in the Chamber that "France will go all lengths in upholding the throne of Maximilian," he was virtually throwing down the gauntlet to the United States. Yet we find, even on this Mexican question, the Tribune is thoroughly peaceful. "What we think our government might agree to do," says this journal, "is to let Mexico henceforth alone. There is Maximilian; there is Juarez; there are the priests; there are the people. What we suggest as a fair and just arrangement among outsiders is, that we should not let Mexico alone, guaranteeing her independence against external pretensions, and saying her children and people, fight out your differences, if you cannot peacefully adjust them; we will bid neither, but recognizing the victor and establishing peaceful relations with him." Meantime, some of our disbanded soldiers may struggle into Mexico and partially offset Max's Belgian and Austrian levies; but let France withdraw her regiments, while our government agrees not to send any, and there can be no occasions for any war. If the Mexicans like Max's rule, let them enjoy it; if they choose to be rid of it, let them throw it off. Let France and the United States form a ring, and there can be no doubt of fair play to the end of the struggle. And what better tribunal can be found or formed for this dispute than one composed of the Mexican themselves?"

The scheme of the Tribune is very good but unfortunately rather unpractical; for before either France or the United States can make a "ring" the problem will be in all likelihood beyond the reach of ordinary calculation. If the disbanded soldiers of the Federal army seek a place of residence in Mexico, there is an end of quiet speculation on the part of either France or the United States. There will then make quick work of Maximilian, and Napoleon must under all circumstances support his protege; this is its turn will raise the American ire, and the

"Monroe doctrine" will become once more the leading political cry throughout the Republic. "France," says the London Spectator, in speaking of the predicament Louis Napoleon would be placed in case of war with America, "would have to defend a dependency with which she has no historical association, and in which she has no interests other than those of the rest of Europe against the whole power of the reunited States. She may win the game even then, for Mexico is a difficult country to invade, and the power of France once excited is both great and real, but she would have to engage in a terrible struggle for an object she does not wish to secure, and in defense of a party which is purely Napoleonic."

It is clear, therefore, all things considered, that if there be war at all, it is more likely to arise on the Mexican question than on any other. We hope however, even this evil may be averted, and the Mexicans left, as the Tribune suggests, to work out their own salvation.

JOB PRINTING. THE BRITISH COLONIST, THE LARGEST COMPANY having received a large number of orders for printing, in addition to their stock, will execute orders to any extent with neatness and dispatch, at a slight advance on San Francisco price.

FRANCE, ENGLAND, AND AMERICA.

In a previous article we endeavored to show the strong activating motives for peace which exists in both Great Britain and the United States, and, despite the various aspects of affairs which recent telegraphic news discloses, we do not think that the complications will involve anything more terrible than diplomatic action. We are glad to see that the same view is taken by those journalists in America that best represent the public mind. The New York Tribune, while maintaining that America has certain valid claims against England on the Alabama question, sees nothing in the present relations that is likely to culminate in war. "We want," says that journal, "to be at peace with Great Britain. The unfriendly predictions of her statesmen we can afford to overlook, since we have signalized them; their eager bestowal of bellicose rights on a 'power not yet in the gristle, and which had not a drilled regiment in its service or an unborrowed dollar in its treasury, will keep us to look at when ire and India shall next be in commotion; her refusal to let us into the ring of anti-privateers governments we will thank her for, since we are out of our recent trouble and we may want to do a little privateering ourselves the next time we are at war; but our vessels and cargoes bartered by her Alabama may better be paid for and have no bad words about them. Short settlements make long friends; and we want to remain in amity with our British cousins for many years to come. The least that they can decently do is to offer to submit the question of their liability in the premises to some mutually friendly government and agree to abide its award. And, whenever this offer shall be frankly made, we shall urge our Government to accept it cordially."

With all the little bravado in the above, there is not made, which we can seriously take exception. That the United States will, on the first outbreak between England and another power, take advantage of any breach of international law which Great Britain may have made, during the recent civil war, is what we must, in the natural order of things, expect. When retaliation pays, nations no more than individuals are able to disregard the temptation. So far, however, as the present cause of dispute is concerned—the destruction of property by the British built Confederate cruisers—the Tribune is willing that the whole master should be left to arbitration. When the principal journal in the United States, except this rational method of settling the difficulty there is not much fear of any serious imbroglio; for it is above all things, the interest of Great Britain that a fair and impartial decision should be given on the question by a third party. Should the case be decided in favor of the United States—should the arbiter declare that however innocent the English Government may have been, in the escape of the Alabama in the first place from an English port, it was the duty of that Government when the crisis subsequently put into British waters, to have her arrested—it may probably save England in her future war, many more millions of dollars than are demanded at the present time by the United States. If, for example, Great Britain gets into war with some of the inferior powers, who are not parties to the anti-privateering agreement, the United States, taking a lesson from the Alabama, could conceive at the fitting out of privateers that would deal terrible havoc with British commerce on the high seas. It is therefore evident that England's interest lies in a full investigation of the question, and—it may also appear, however strange, that a verdict given against her would be the best guarantee for the safety of her gigantic commerce in the exigencies of the future.

When M. Roche, the French Minister, lately called in the Chamber that "France will go all lengths in upholding the throne of Maximilian," he was virtually throwing down the gauntlet to the United States. Yet we find, even on this Mexican question, the Tribune is thoroughly peaceful. "What we think our government might agree to do," says this journal, "is to let Mexico henceforth alone. There is Maximilian; there is Juarez; there are the priests; there are the people. What we suggest as a fair and just arrangement among outsiders is, that we should not let Mexico alone, guaranteeing her independence against external pretensions, and saying her children and people, fight out your differences, if you cannot peacefully adjust them; we will bid neither, but recognizing the victor and establishing peaceful relations with him." Meantime, some of our disbanded soldiers may struggle into Mexico and partially offset Max's Belgian and Austrian levies; but let France withdraw her regiments, while our government agrees not to send any, and there can be no occasions for any war. If the Mexicans like Max's rule, let them enjoy it; if they choose to be rid of it, let them throw it off. Let France and the United States form a ring, and there can be no doubt of fair play to the end of the struggle. And what better tribunal can be found or formed for this dispute than one composed of the Mexican themselves?"

The scheme of the Tribune is very good but unfortunately rather unpractical; for before either France or the United States can make a "ring" the problem will be in all likelihood beyond the reach of ordinary calculation. If the disbanded soldiers of the Federal army seek a place of residence in Mexico, there is an end of quiet speculation on the part of either France or the United States. There will then make quick work of Maximilian, and Napoleon must under all circumstances support his protege; this is its turn will raise the American ire, and the

"Monroe doctrine" will become once more the leading political cry throughout the Republic. "France," says the London Spectator, in speaking of the predicament Louis Napoleon would be placed in case of war with America, "would have to defend a dependency with which she has no historical association, and in which she has no interests other than those of the rest of Europe against the whole power of the reunited States. She may win the game even then, for Mexico is a difficult country to invade, and the power of France once excited is both great and real, but she would have to engage in a terrible struggle for an object she does not wish to secure, and in defense of a party which is purely Napoleonic."

It is clear, therefore, all things considered, that if there be war at all, it is more likely to arise on the Mexican question than on any other. We hope however, even this evil may be averted, and the Mexicans left, as the Tribune suggests, to work out their own salvation.

JOB PRINTING. THE BRITISH COLONIST, THE LARGEST COMPANY having received a large number of orders for printing, in addition to their stock, will execute orders to any extent with neatness and dispatch, at a slight advance on San Francisco price.

FRANCE, ENGLAND, AND AMERICA.

In a previous article we endeavored to show the strong activating motives for peace which exists in both Great Britain and the United States, and, despite the various aspects of affairs which recent telegraphic news discloses, we do not think that the complications will involve anything more terrible than diplomatic action. We are glad to see that the same view is taken by those journalists in America that best represent the public mind. The New York Tribune, while maintaining that America has certain valid claims against England on the Alabama question, sees nothing in the present relations that is likely to culminate in war. "We want," says that journal, "to be at peace with Great Britain. The unfriendly predictions of her statesmen we can afford to overlook, since we have signalized them; their eager bestowal of bellicose rights on a 'power not yet in the gristle, and which had not a drilled regiment in its service or an unborrowed dollar in its treasury, will keep us to look at when ire and India shall next be in commotion; her refusal to let us into the ring of anti-privateers governments we will thank her for, since we are out of our recent trouble and we may want to do a little privateering ourselves the next time we are at war; but our vessels and cargoes bartered by her Alabama may better be paid for and have no bad words about them. Short settlements make long friends; and we want to remain in amity with our British cousins for many years to come. The least that they can decently do is to offer to submit the question of their liability in the premises to some mutually friendly government and agree to abide its award. And, whenever this offer shall be frankly made, we shall urge our Government to accept it cordially."

With all the little bravado in the above, there is not made, which we can seriously take exception. That the United States will, on the first outbreak between England and another power, take advantage of any breach of international law which Great Britain may have made, during the recent civil war, is what we must, in the natural order of things, expect. When retaliation pays, nations no more than individuals are able to disregard the temptation. So far, however, as the present cause of dispute is concerned—the destruction of property by the British built Confederate cruisers—the Tribune is willing that the whole master should be left to arbitration. When the principal journal in the United States, except this rational method of settling the difficulty there is not much fear of any serious imbroglio; for it is above all things, the interest of Great Britain that a fair and impartial decision should be given on the question by a third party. Should the case be decided in favor of the United States—should the arbiter declare that however innocent the English Government may have been, in the escape of the Alabama in the first place from an English port, it was the duty of that Government when the crisis subsequently put into British waters, to have her arrested—it may probably save England in her future war, many more millions of dollars than are demanded at the present time by the United States. If, for example, Great Britain gets into war with some of the inferior powers, who are not parties to the anti-privateering agreement, the United States, taking a lesson from the Alabama, could conceive at the fitting out of privateers that would deal terrible havoc with British commerce on the high seas. It is therefore evident that England's interest lies in a full investigation of the question, and—it may also appear, however strange, that a verdict given against her would be the best guarantee for the safety of her gigantic commerce in the exigencies of the future.

When M. Roche, the French Minister, lately called in the Chamber that "France will go all lengths in upholding the throne of Maximilian," he was virtually throwing down the gauntlet to the United States. Yet we find, even on this Mexican question, the Tribune is thoroughly peaceful. "What we think our government might agree to do," says this journal, "is to let Mexico henceforth alone. There is Maximilian; there is Juarez; there are the priests; there are the people. What we suggest as a fair and just arrangement among outsiders is, that we should not let Mexico alone, guaranteeing her independence against external pretensions, and saying her children and people, fight out your differences, if you cannot peacefully adjust them; we will bid neither, but recognizing the victor and establishing peaceful relations with him." Meantime, some of our disbanded soldiers may struggle into Mexico and partially offset Max's Belgian and Austrian levies; but let France withdraw her regiments, while our government agrees not to send any, and there can be no occasions for any war. If the Mexicans like Max's rule, let them enjoy it; if they choose to be rid of it, let them throw it off. Let France and the United States form a ring, and there can be no doubt of fair play to the end of the struggle. And what better tribunal can be found or formed for this dispute than one composed of the Mexican themselves?"

The scheme of the Tribune is very good but unfortunately rather unpractical; for before either France or the United States can make a "ring" the problem will be in all likelihood beyond the reach of ordinary calculation. If the disbanded soldiers of the Federal army seek a place of residence in Mexico, there is an end of quiet speculation on the part of either France or the United States. There will then make quick work of Maximilian, and Napoleon must under all circumstances support his protege; this is its turn will raise the American ire, and the

"Monroe doctrine" will become once more the leading political cry throughout the Republic. "France," says the London Spectator, in speaking of the predicament Louis Napoleon would be placed in case of war with America, "would have to defend a dependency with which she has no historical association, and in which she has no interests other than those of the rest of Europe against the whole power of the reunited States. She may win the game even then, for Mexico is a difficult country to invade, and the power of France once excited is both great and real, but she would have to engage in a terrible struggle for an object she does not wish to secure, and in defense of a party which is purely Napoleonic."

It is clear, therefore, all things considered, that if there be war at all, it is more likely to arise on the Mexican question than on any other. We hope however, even this evil may be averted, and the Mexicans left, as the Tribune suggests, to work out their own salvation.

JOB PRINTING. THE BRITISH COLONIST, THE LARGEST COMPANY having received a large number of orders for printing, in addition to their stock, will execute orders to any extent with neatness and dispatch, at a slight advance on San Francisco price.

FRANCE, ENGLAND, AND AMERICA.

In a previous article we endeavored to show the strong activating motives for peace which exists in both Great Britain and the United States, and, despite the various aspects of affairs which recent telegraphic news discloses, we do not think that the complications will involve anything more terrible than diplomatic action. We are glad to see that the same view is taken by those journalists in America that best represent the public mind. The New York Tribune, while maintaining that America has certain valid claims against England on the Alabama question, sees nothing in the present relations that is likely to culminate in war. "We want," says that journal, "to be at peace with Great Britain. The unfriendly predictions of her statesmen we can afford to overlook, since we have signalized them; their eager bestowal of bellicose rights on a 'power not yet in the gristle, and which had not a drilled regiment in its service or an unborrowed dollar in its treasury, will keep us to look at when ire and India shall next be in commotion; her refusal to let us into the ring of anti-privateers governments we will thank her for, since we are out of our recent trouble and we may want to do a little privateering ourselves the next time we are at war; but our vessels and cargoes bartered by her Alabama may better be paid for and have no bad words about them. Short settlements make long friends; and we want to remain in amity with our British cousins for many years to come. The least that they can decently do is to offer to submit the question of their liability in the premises to some mutually friendly government and agree to abide its award. And, whenever this offer shall be frankly made, we shall urge our Government to accept it cordially."

With all the little bravado in the above, there is not made, which we can seriously take exception. That the United States will, on the first outbreak between England and another power, take advantage of any breach of international law which Great Britain may have made, during the recent civil war, is what we must, in the natural order of things, expect. When retaliation pays, nations no more than individuals are able to disregard the temptation. So far, however, as the present cause of dispute is concerned—the destruction of property by the British built Confederate cruisers—the Tribune is willing that the whole master should be left to arbitration. When the principal journal in the United States, except this rational method of settling the difficulty there is not much fear of any serious imbroglio; for it is above all things, the interest of Great Britain that a fair and impartial decision should be given on the question by a third party. Should the case be decided in favor of the United States—should the arbiter declare that however innocent the English Government may have been, in the escape of the Alabama in the first place from an English port, it was the duty of that Government when the crisis subsequently put into British waters, to have her arrested—it may probably save England in her future war, many more millions of dollars than are demanded at the present time by the United States. If, for example, Great Britain gets into war with some of the inferior powers, who are not parties to the anti-privateering agreement, the United States, taking a lesson from the Alabama, could conceive at the fitting out of privateers that would deal terrible havoc with British commerce on the high seas. It is therefore evident that England's interest lies in a full investigation of the question, and—it may also appear, however strange, that a verdict given against her would be the best guarantee for the safety of her gigantic commerce in the exigencies of the future.

When M. Roche, the French Minister, lately called in the Chamber that "France will go all lengths in upholding the throne of Maximilian," he was virtually throwing down the gauntlet to the United States. Yet we find, even on this Mexican question, the Tribune is thoroughly peaceful. "What we think our government might agree to do," says this journal, "is to let Mexico henceforth alone. There is Maximilian; there is Juarez; there are the priests; there are the people. What we suggest as a fair and just arrangement among outsiders is, that we should not let Mexico alone, guaranteeing her independence against external pretensions, and saying her children and people, fight out your differences, if you cannot peacefully adjust them; we will bid neither, but recognizing the victor and establishing peaceful relations with him." Meantime, some of our disbanded soldiers may struggle into Mexico and partially offset Max's Belgian and Austrian levies; but let France withdraw her regiments, while our government agrees not to send any, and there can be no occasions for any war. If the Mexicans like Max's rule, let them enjoy it; if they choose to be rid of it, let them throw it off. Let France and the United States form a ring, and there can be no doubt of fair play to the end of the struggle. And what better tribunal can be found or formed for this dispute than one composed of the Mexican themselves?"

The scheme of the Tribune is very good but unfortunately rather unpractical; for before either France or the United States can make a "ring" the problem will be in all likelihood beyond the reach of ordinary calculation. If the disbanded soldiers of the Federal army seek a place of residence in Mexico, there is an end of quiet speculation on the part of either France or the United States. There will then make quick work of Maximilian, and Napoleon must under all circumstances support his protege; this is its turn will raise the American ire, and the

"Monroe doctrine" will become once more the leading political cry throughout the Republic. "France," says the London Spectator, in speaking of the predicament Louis Napoleon would be placed in case of war with America, "would have to defend a dependency with which she has no historical association, and in which she has no interests other than those of the rest of Europe against the whole power of the reunited States. She may win the game even then, for Mexico is a difficult country to invade, and the power of France once excited is both great and real, but she would have to engage in a terrible struggle for an object she does not wish to secure, and in defense of a party which is purely Napoleonic."

It is clear, therefore, all things considered, that if there be war at all, it is more likely to arise on the Mexican question than on any other. We hope however, even this evil may be averted, and the Mexicans left, as the Tribune suggests, to work out their own salvation.

JOB PRINTING. THE BRITISH COLONIST, THE LARGEST COMPANY having received a large number of orders for printing, in addition to their stock, will execute orders to any extent with neatness and dispatch, at a slight advance on San Francisco price.

FRANCE, ENGLAND, AND AMERICA.

In a previous article we endeavored to show the strong activating motives for peace which exists in both Great Britain and the United States, and, despite the various aspects of affairs which recent telegraphic news discloses, we do not think that the complications will involve anything more terrible than diplomatic action. We are glad to see that the same view is taken by those journalists in America that best represent the public mind. The New York Tribune, while maintaining that America has certain valid claims against England on the Alabama question, sees nothing in the present relations that is likely to culminate in war. "We want," says that journal, "to be at peace with Great Britain. The unfriendly predictions of her statesmen we can afford to overlook, since we have signalized them; their eager bestowal of bellicose rights on a 'power not yet in the gristle, and which had not a drilled regiment in its service or an unborrowed dollar in its treasury, will keep us to look at when ire and India shall next be in commotion; her refusal to let us into the ring of anti-privateers governments we will thank her for, since we are out of our recent trouble and we may want to do a little privateering ourselves the next time we are at war; but our vessels and cargoes bartered by her Alabama may better be paid for and have no bad words about them. Short settlements make long friends; and we want to remain in amity with our British cousins for many years to come. The least that they can decently do is to offer to submit the question of their liability in the premises to some mutually friendly government and agree to abide its award. And, whenever this offer shall be frankly made, we shall urge our Government to accept it cordially."

With all the little bravado in the above, there is not made, which we can seriously take exception. That the United States will, on the first outbreak between England and another power, take advantage of any breach of international law which Great Britain may have made, during the recent civil war, is what we must, in the natural order of things, expect. When retaliation pays, nations no more than individuals are able to disregard the temptation. So far, however, as the present cause of dispute is concerned—the destruction of property by the British built Confederate cruisers—the Tribune is willing that the whole master should be left to arbitration. When the principal journal in the United States, except this rational method of settling the difficulty there is not much fear of any serious imbroglio; for it is above all things, the interest of Great

VANCOUVER ISLAND.



To all defaulters in respect of Real Estate Taxes.

TAKE NOTICE THAT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE "REAL ESTATE TAX ACT, 1860" and the "Real Estate Tax Amendment Act, 1863," I shall proceed to sell at the Sheriff's Office, in the City of Victoria, on FRIDAY, the seventh day of July next at Eleven o'clock in the forenoon, the Real Estate mentioned in the list annexed, in satisfaction of the assessments made under the said Acts still remaining unpaid, together with the costs and expenses incurred.

Dated at the Sheriff's Office, Victoria, this 9th day of June, 1863.

WM. B. NAYLOR, Sheriff.

ESQUIMALT TOWN.

NAME.	BLOCK OR SECTION.	LOT.	ASSESSMENT No. 1.	ASSESSMENT No. 2.	ASSESSMENT No. 3.
Culverwell, Wm	23	9	3	2	2
Dunn, F G	23	18	6	6	6
Jones, George	21	5	6	6	6
Mitchell, Dr	21	9	6	6	6
Pearce, B W	21	23	6	6	6
Stewart, J B	46	38	6	6	6
Turkell, J W	12	19, 20, 25	6	6	6
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	9a	6	6	6
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2
Pearce, B W	21	1	2	2	2
Stewart, J B	46	1	2	2	2
Turkell, J W	12	1	2	2	2
Trivedi, Cap. J	31	1	2	2	2
UNKNOWN LIST.	21	1	2	2	2
Culverwell, Wm	21	1	2	2	2
Dunn, F G	21	1	2	2	2
Jones, George	21	1	2	2	2
Mitchell, Dr	21	1	2	2	2